IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION No. 51 OF 2002

PETITIONER : Smt. Usha Thakur, wife of Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Thakur, aged about 43 years, occupation: Assistant Teacher in Janpat Primary School, Takhatpur, resident of Kumharpara, Bilaspur (C.G).

Vs.

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh: Through: Secretary, School Education Department, D.K.Bhawan, Raipur (C.G)

2. District Education Officer, Composite Building in front of Collectorate Office, Bilaspur (C.G)

3. Block Education Officer, Takhatpur, dist. Bilaspur(C.G)

4. Principal,Sankul Kendra Prabhari Shaskiya Uchchatar Madhyamie Shala, Takhatpur, dist. Bilaspur (C.G)

5. Siyaram Bharadwaj, Clerk in Block Education Office, Takhatpur,dist.Bilaspur(C.G).

P.R. No. ..... Presented by Shri Shailesh Ahuja dated 02.01.03

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.51 सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का क्रमांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	04.03.2003 Smt. Anju Ahuja, counsel for the petitioner. Shri D. R. Agrawal, Dy. Advocate General for the State. Heard. The petitioner is at present working as an Assistant Teacher in Janpat Primary School, Takhatpur, District Bilaspur. The petitioner's grievance is that since the year 1995 she is neither getting salary at the enhanced rate nor getting any annual increments and other benefits to which she is entitled. The grievance is that though time and again she has made representations to the Head of the Institution, the Block Education Officer and also the District Collector, no action is being taken which has compelled her to approach this Court. Accordingly, a prayer is made for a direction to the Authorities to consider her representations and extend benefits to which she is entitled. In view of the limited nature of the prayer made at the time of hearing, no useful purpose would be served in keeping this writ petition pending as the same can be disposed of at the admission stage. Accordingly, it is disposed of by directing respondents	

पीछे देखिये

..2..

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

98

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 51/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित ..2..	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

No.3 & 4 to take an appropriate decision on the representations made by the petitioner within a period of 4 weeks from the date of communication / receipt of the order. The Principal should also ensure that the representations submitted to him by the petitioner are forwarded to the appropriate Authority alongwith his comments for appropriate action. Consequences are to follow.

With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition is disposed of. Consequently, I.A.No.352/2003 for urgent hearing also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
P. C. Naik
Judge

Anjani/-

[पीछे देखिये